G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.
LB 35-17 8142
II 1-17 8389-12, 1447, 1918.
(LB.)

Preserve

File No. 53-18

Serial Nos. 4-6 19-11

17/12/19

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 4-6 19-11

Part B for June 1919

SUBJECT.

Memorial of Dancers Complaining against the System of Licenses -

---

From the President St Bd Bangalore
No C Petn 138 of 14.3.19.

Solicits orders of Government on the memorial of the Dancers in the Bangalore Dt complaining against the System of Licenses to control the Dancers -

To the Presdt St Bd Bangalore
G.O. No R 16557 of 24.6.19.

Directs the addition of a sentence at the end of Bye Law No 5 of the Bye Laws Sanctioned for the [illegible] Dancers in G.O. No 8.11.18 -

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 28-1-19

J.W.P. Jarvis
30/7/19

G. R. S. III—1] 1918-19

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Local Boards)

NOTES.

LB File No. 53-18

FILE HEADING.

Memorial of Tanners Complaining against the System of Licenses.

From

The President, District Board, Bangalore

No. C. petn 138 Dated 14-3-19 Received 17/3/19

Diary Nos 1627/6 1238/5	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 445

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Solicits orders of Government on the memorial of the tanners in the Bangalore District, Complaining against the System of [illegible]

NOTES AND ORDERS.

× maps × & W | Submitted with reference.

Kms 26/3 The memorialists took no objections when the bye-laws were published in the Gazette before adoption. Even now they have no objection to pay the license fee of Rs 25/- from every tannery. Only

NOTES—First Sheet.

12355

(24

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat. | LB File No. 53 of 1918.

Serial No.

they do not want to carry on the business under license

The President states that the question of licenses has been kept in abeyance pending orders of Govt on the memorial of the tanners.

Sub^d for orders

28/3/19

The reasons assigned by the tanners for repealing the bylaws specially those relating to taking out of licenses are not at all clear and much less convincing. The issue of licenses is to regulate the carrying on of the industry as it is one coming under the list given in cl(b) of section 38 of the L.B & V.P. Regn. If bylaws are not prescribed for the levy of fees and enforcement of proper sanitation, it is not clear, under what authority these measures can be carried out. The mere statement of the Tanners that they will keep the ~~[illegible]~~ area ~~[illegible]~~ clean on an assuming condition as to ~~[illegible]~~ their handing it over ~~[illegible]~~ to ~~[illegible]~~ them at periods also they will attend to the ~~[illegible]~~ in a sanitary condition does not appear to be sufficient to meet the requirements of the case. Even if a contract were to be entered into of this effect, there

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

LW.3L

LB File No. 53 of 1918.

Serial No.

would still be the necessity & resort to a civil court to remedy a breach of the conditions of the contract &c. To obviate all this, the bylaws have been framed and the L.B. is perfectly in order in framing them ~~Responsible~~ for orders.

MC
29.3.19

Revised)

The trade in question, i.e. the business of tanning is distinctly specified as one of the items for the regulation of which bye laws may be framed under the L.B. Regn. The objection is purely sentimental Because there have been no such bye laws up to now the men engaged in the business do not want any restrictions to be imposed now. Their objection can not be entertained The bye laws have been framed after full consideration & must be given effect to.

4021/10.4.19

Temp. Member)

hm
8/4/19

, me , wish about his ~~request~~ request license Rules &c

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | 1/13 File No. 5, of 191 &.

Serial No.

licences at his mercy. The Sanitary Commissioner has not at his disposal the Department of Industries and Commerce did not appear to be framed by the Bangalore Board for the removal of licences any person, but appeal lies against the Commissioner's order and against the decision of the Board. It does not seem that it was the intention when power that it should be exercised directly by the Board by the suspension of the licence. The licensee may be subjected to inconvenience from many reasons, his licence should not be cancelled or suspended in midseason unless there is proved by a competent Court of Law or recognised by the Sanitary Rules that there was

16/4

P.T.O.

12383
12430

(5

General and Revenue Secretariat. | G.R.S. File No. 53 of 1918.

Serial No.

Byelaws nos 4, 5, 6 & 7 have to be repealed. Draft Subd

DF RMM 14/4/19

I submitted the file in person to the Temp[y] member and spoke to him that the clause relating to suspension and revocating licenses for breach of license conditions or byelaws ~~has~~ may be retained as otherwise the S[t]-S[d]. will have no remedy whatever in its hands for continuing offences. The member was pleased to indicate that if the revocation or suspension took place after the warning and after less drastic punishments proved unavailing, then it may be retained. The license conditions may be suitably altered to the S-S's opinion invited.

MK 24.4.19

Revised draft Subd

DF RMM 25/4/19

For order MK 30/4

4445 30.4.19

Temp[y] member) call for his permission this Ds Cases.

Ldr 5/5 MK 5/5

Draft Subd

DF RMM 5/5/19

9.5.19 MK 6/5

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

14434 14434(6

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. [illegible] of 1919.

Serial No.

9 | To the Private Secretary [illegible] 1466 [illegible]

[illegible]

10 | From
The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore
Petn No 138/LE dy 20.5.19.
States that he has no objection to the insertion of the clauses in the end of Bye law No 5 sanctioned for the control of tanneries vide G.O. dy 8.11.18.
May the draft G.O. which is already [illegible] be issued?
SHC 4.6.19
Submitted for orders.
MK 4.6.19
Fag X
5083 6.6.19
Third member
Yes.
MK 14/6
Issue
MK 19/6/19

11 | To the Resident, Dt. Mg. Bangalore
No D 16557 dy 24.6.19.
Orders that a sentence may be added

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(8

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.
Dated Bangalore, 14th March 1919.

No....18 March 1919 212385

From LB 93-18-2 53-18-4
P.F.Bowring, Esq.,
President, District Board,
Bangalore District.

To

B.Ramaswamaiva. Esq. B.A. B.L.
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore. Revenue Department.

Sir,

Adverting to Government order No.3070-9/L.B.53-17-2 dated 8th November 1918, approving of the bye-laws framed by the District Board for the control of tanneries, I have the honor to state that the tanners doing business in the Bangalore District have sent me a copy of a Memorial addressed by them to Government complaining against the system of licenses and to request that Government may be pleased to pass early orders on their memorial, as the question of licenses has been kept in abeyance pending orders of Government.[1]

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

P.F.Bowring
President,
District Board,
Bangalore District.

1.

From D. F. Bowring Esquire
President District Board
Bellary District

To -

Ramaswamiayya Esq: B.A., B.L.
Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore
Revenue Department.

Dated 14th March 19

Despatched - March 19

Received

General No. C 8th 138
No.

Enclosure No.

Solicits orders of Government on the Memorial of the Cultivators of the Bellary District against the system of licenses.

Pur Sett Dept
7 MAR 1919

Mr Pl.

See another paper on the same subject - B/Mr Mysore
to be perused and put together
MM

DEWAN'S
Date of Receipt 17.3.19.
Serial No. 15849

18 MAR 1919 To

The Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

The humble memorial of the undersigned tanners, doing business in the Bangalore District, most respectfully sheweth:-

1. That the memorialists and their predecessors in the business of tanning have been carrying on business as tanners in the village of Devara Jeevanahalli for a period of about 70 years.

2. That to the best of the knowledge and information of the memorialists most of the tanning industry of the State is being carried on in the said village while hides are also stored therein and in the surrounding villages within a very short distance of each other.

3. That the said industry is one of the most important carried on in the State and provides labour to a large number of people besides contributing to the wealth of the State

4. That the said industry has been hitherto untramelled by any system of licenses and has not been subject to any sort of control or interference such as would cause permanent hardship to the memorialists.

5. That such restrictions as were recently imposed were but temporary and war measures which though they caused loss and inconvenience did not permanently impair the industry.

6. That the memorialists learnt recently that certain Bye-laws have been passed by the District Board

of

of the Bangalore District under Section 38 of the Local Boards and Village Panchayets Regulation which have been approved of by the Government.

7. That the said Bye-laws necessitate the taking - out of licenses by the Memorialists which are liable to - suspension or revocation at the instance of the District Board.

8. That the memorialists have invested considerable sums of money on their present buildings and have been -- doing their utmost to keep them in good and satisfactory condition.

9. That the Memorialists have hitherto, unaided by the District Board or the Government, on their own initiative and at their own cost, tried as far as possible to - improve the sanitation of the villages to which the tanning industry is mostly confined.

10. That the Memorialists pay a large sum of money annually to the Jodidar of Devara Jeevanhally for making provision to convey the drainage from the tanneries to a distance therefrom.

11. That the Memorialists also paid a sum of --- Rs 5000/- to the Government towards the costs of the improvement of the road leading to the tanneries about 3 -- years ago, but no steps have as yet been taken in that -- direction.

12. That the business of the Memorialists is such that if it is suspended even for a day at certain times it will involve a loss of probably thousands of rupees - if not the ruin of the person whose business is so suspended.

(1)

13. That so far as the Memorialists can gather - from the provisions of the Bye-laws, the object of the District Board and of the Government is to ensure the - business being carried on under proper sanitary conditions.

14. That any abuse of the system of licensing and the power to suspend or revoke the licenses is likely to lead to considerable pecuniary loss if not the ruin of the memorialists for which no right of appeal or subsequent cancellation of orders can make sufficient --- reparation.

15. That the Memorialists have always been anxious to secure proper drainage and sanitation for the locality in which they do their business and would -- gladly co-operate with the District Board towards that - end.

16. That the Memorialists are ready and willing - to contribute a sum of Rs 25/- annually from every -- tannery towards the expenses of maintaining the sanitation of the locality and for the establishment of a proper system of drainage therein.

17. That the Memorialists are apprehensive that the system of licenses and the power to suspend or revoke them may mean the death blow to the industry entailing, as it may easily do, consequences which may - prove subsequently irrepairable.

18. That the Memorialists understand that such a system is not in vogue anywhere else in India and that it was tried and found unworkable in Madras.

Wherefore the Memorialists pray that the said

16276

19 MAR 1919
D 1224-80
5 MAR 1919

The Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

The humble memorial of the undersigned tanners, doing business in the Bangalore District, most respectfully sheweth:-

1. That the memorialists and their predecessors in the business of tanning have been carrying on business as tanners in the village of Devara Jeevanhalli for a period of about 70 years.

2. That to the best of the knowledge and information of the memorialists most of the tanning industry of the State is being carried on in the said village while hides are also stored therein and in the surrounding villages within a very short distance of each other.

3. That the said industry is one of the most important carried on in the State and provides labour to a large number of people besides contributing to the wealth of the State.

4. That the said industry has hitherto been unfettered by any system of licenses and has not been subject to any sort of control or interference such as would cause permanent hardship to the memorialists.

5. That such restrictions as were recently imposed were but temporary and war measures which though they caused loss and inconvenience did not permanently impair the industry.

6. That the memorialists learnt recently that certain Bye-laws have been passed by the District Board of

of the Bangalore District under Section 38 of the Local Boards and Village Panchayats Regulation which have been approved of by the Government.

7. That the said Bye-laws necessitate the taking out of licenses by the Memorialists which are liable to suspension or revocation at the instance of the District Board.

8. That the memorialists have invested considerable sums of money on their present buildings and have been doing their utmost to keep them in good and satisfactory condition.

9. That the Memorialists have hitherto, unaided by the District Board or the Government, on their own initiative and at their own cost, tried as far as possible to improve the sanitation of the villages to which the tanning industry is mostly confined.

10. That the Memorialists pay a large sum of money annually to the Jodidar of Devara Jeevanhally for making provision to convey the drainage from the tanneries to a distance therefrom.

Vide flag B
Rs 5000/-

11. That the Memorialists also paid a sum of Rs 5000/- to the Government towards the costs of the improvement of the road leading to the tanneries about 3 years ago, but no steps have as yet been taken in that direction.

12. That the business of the Memorialists is such that if it is suspended even for a day at certain times it will involve a loss of probably thousands of rupees - if not the ruin of the person whose business is so suspended.

Yes Such thing will be done. When once the license is granted it will not be suspended except for breach of license conditions.
MK

(16

13. That so far as the Memorialists can gather from the provisions of the Bye-laws, the object of the District Board and of the Government is to ensure the business being carried on under proper sanitary conditions.

14. That any abuse of the system of licensing and the power to suspend or revoke the licences is likely to lead to considerable pecuniary loss if not the ruin of the memorialists for which no right of appeal or subsequent cancellation of orders can make sufficient --- reparation.

Why? These general statements do not clear the matter -

15. That the Memorialists have always been anxious to secure proper drainage and sanitation for the locality in which they do their business and would --- gladly co-operate with the District Board towards that end.

If so, the licence conditions are satisfied they need not be apprehensive at all.

16. That the Memorialists are ready and willing to contribute a sum of Rs 25/- annually from every --- tannery towards the expences of maintaining the sanitation of the locality and for the establishment of a proper system of drainage therein.

How can they be imposed if there are no bye-laws?

17. That the Memorialists are apprehensive that the system of licences and the power to suspend or revoke them may mean the death blow to the industry entailing, as it may easily do, consequences which may prove subsequently irreparable.

Heard?

18. That the Memorialists understand that such a system is not in vogue anywhere else in India and that it was tried and found unworkable in Madras.

Wherefore the Memorialists pray that the

sail

(19

814

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Bangalore, Dated 15-5-1919.

No. e.No R14662 Ex. a. R.B. 53-18--

From

B. RAMASWAMAIYA, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.
Revenue Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

SIR

With reference to your letter No.Revenue B.F. dated 14th March 1919 I am directed to state that it is proposed to issue --- the accompanying order in connection with the complaints received from the Tanners in regard to the licenses to be taken for carrying on their business in the District and to request that you will kindly favor Government with your opinion on the modifications proposed --- therein at a very early date.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Fair draft

For Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

TMK2.

2 Copies (25

Encl to £3.5.3-15-9

G.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT Bye laws for the control of tanneries in the Bangalore Dt.

Diary No. of letter replied to 1258

Section KNR

File No. 53-1

Serial No. 9

General and Revenue Secretariat

(

, *the* 191

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

Read.— G.O. No R5678-79 L.R.S.C.-17-7 dt 11-18 Sanctioning revised bye laws for the control of tanneries in the Bangalore City

2. Petitions of [illegible] from the tanners in

Order Thereon. ~~No.~~ the Bangalore Cantonment [illegible] against the restrictions of licensing tanneries and bringing

over.

May this be

i Printed?

ii treated as a standing order

iii published in the Gazette English part?

iv translated?

v placed at the disposal of the press?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada part?

2.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. 21]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

fanneries concerned has been duly warned and convicted for a breach of the conditions of the license and the breach continues in spite of such warning and conviction.

2. Govt are accordingly pleased to direct that the following sentence shall be added at the end of bye-law No. 5 sanctioned for the control of fanneries in

GPB—20,000—7-7-14

~~G. O. of the 8 Div~~
~~Nov 1900.~~

~~This power shall not be~~
~~exercised by the~~
~~Dept Bd unless the~~
~~owner or his agent~~
~~of the property~~
~~concerned shall have~~
~~duly warned~~
~~and convicted~~
~~for any breach~~
~~of the conditions~~
~~of the license~~
~~and the breach~~
~~shall not be~~
~~upon a~~
~~offence and~~
~~conviction."~~

2. The Dept Comr are
Board is requested
to communicate the
decision contained in
petitioners and have it
widely published

MMS 30/4/19 11M 19.6.01

The Board Bank & Bd [illegible] Rev Secy

D 14434
24.5.19

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.
Dated Bangalore, 20th May 1919.

No. Rev 138 / 19. LB 53-18-10

From

M.A.Narayana Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

To

B.Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.R.14662/L.B.53-18-8 dated 15th May 1919, calling for my opinion on the modifications proposed in the Tannery Bye-laws, I have the honor to state that I have no objection to the insertion of the clause in bye-laws No.5 as proposed by Government.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

M.A. Narayanaiyengar
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

L.S.R.
1.

70576

191

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Bridgetown

To The Secretary
Govt. of Guyana.
Revenue department

Dated 21st May 1919.

Despatched

Received

GEN. & REV. DEPT.
Rec'd
23 MAY 1919

General No. Rev 138

No. 24

Enclosure No

Stating that the modifications proposed in regard to the Laws... Bye laws may be effected.

I am upon a ...

24/5

24/5

GPD—5,000—6-19.12

(25

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

R e a d:-

Government Order No.R.5678-9 L.B. 35-17-2 dated 8th November 1918 sanctioning revised bye-laws for the control of tanneries in the Bangalore District.

2. Petition dated 12th March 1919 from the tanners in the Bangalore District complaining against the system of licensing tanneries and praying that it may be revoked.

3. Letter No.C.Pet.138 L.F. dated 14th March 1919 from the President, Bangalore District Board, reporting that the question of licenses has been kept in abeyance pending orders on the petition of the tanners and requesting the issue of early orders in the matter.

O r d e r--No. R. 1655 / L.B. 53-18-11

Dated Bangalore, the 24th June 1919.

On a careful reconsideration of the subject Government think that the licenses once given should not be cancelled withdrawn or suspended unless the owner or manager of the tannery concerned has been duly warned and convicted for any breach of the conditions of the license and the breach continues in spite of such warning and conviction.

2. Government are accordingly pleased to direct that the following sentence be added at the end of bye-law No.5 sanctioned for the control of tanneries in the Government Order of the 8th November 1918:-

" This power shall not be exercised by the District Board unless the owner or manager of the tannery concerned has been duly warned and convicted for any breach of the conditions of the license and the breach continues in spite of such warning and conviction".

2. The Deputy Commissioner and President is requested to communicate the decision of Government to the petitioners and have it -

widely ---

widely published.

Fair draft

4/61-1

for Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

9/5/19

To

The President, District Board, Bangalore District

TMK2..